

bs- GmBm/GbtdvmfgU/510/2006/503
tg 20, 2007 Bs

tiR ÷ WGW
d'v : 02-8613131

Rbve Avj nrR; Avãj gwZb, cwi Pvj K
tj · tKv wj wgtUW
146, nrRvi xevM
XvKv- 1209

wel q: Avt` k

gtnv` q,

Kvgk`bi tg 20, 2007 Bs Zwi tLi Avt` k bs GmBm/GbtdvmfgU/510/2006/497 Gi mZ`wqZ Abjy w Avcbvi AeMwZ I c`qvRbxq
e`e`v Mh`bi Rb` GZ` tntM mshy³ Kiv ntj v|

wmwKDwi wUR I G· tPA Kvgk`bi c`q|

(tgrt gbmj i ngvb)
Dc-cwi Pvj K

Avf`k

thtnZi Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 (Ordinance No. XVII of 1969) Gi section 2(g) tgvZvteK tj · tkv wj wgtUW ‘issuer’ wnmvte AwfwZ (AZtci ōBmjyqvi ō etj Dvj wEz);

thtnZi sub-rule (2) of rule 12 of the Securities and Exchange Rules, 1987, states “The financial statements of an issuer of a listed security shall be prepared in accordance with the requirements laid down in the Schedule and the International Accounting Standards as adopted by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of Bangladesh.”;

thtnZi rule 12 of the Securities and Exchange Rules, 1987, Abjhvqx Bmjyqvi wWtmf 31, 2005 Bs Zwi tL mgvB ermfi i Rb` cōZKZ Aw_R weei Yx BmjyKti tQ hv tgmvmLvb l nve kudKi ingvb GŪ tKvs (weae× wbiyqK) KZR wbiyqKZ ntqtQ;

thtnZi Avtj vP` wbiyqK wbiyqK mspvš-Kvhŋ mœú` b ceR GZ` msikē-wbiyqK cōZte` b Rb 15, 2006 Bs Zwi tL BmjyKti tQ hmvfZ , Ab`vfb`i gta, wbtæ³ AwfgZ e`³ Kivi dtj t` Lv hvq th, Bmjyqvi wWtmf 31, 2005 Bs Zwi tL mgvB ermfi i Aw_R weei Yx IAS Abjhvqx cōZ Ki tZ e`© ntqtQ weavq D³ Aw_R weei Yx Bmjyqvi i ev`e Ae`v cōZdwj Z nqvb Z_v D³ ifc KgRvtŪi gva`tg Bmjyqvi Avtj vP` Rules Gi msikē-weavb j sNb Kti tQ Z_v D³ ifc KgRvtŪi Avtj vP` Ordinance Gi section 18 j sNtbi l mwgj :-

- “1. Three fourth of Bank Interest amounting to Tk. 1,98,55,492.00 has been deferred and shown as “Intangible Assets” and one fourth of interest amounting to Tk. 66,18,497.00 has been charged to Profit & Loss Account as per decision of Board in its 143rd meeting held on 5th June 2004. This decision does not conform to accounting principles and as per Bangladesh Accounting Standard (BAS 23);
- 2. Depreciation on factory assets, such as, Factory Building, Plant & Machinery and other factory assets have not been charged for the year under audit;
- 3. Lease rental for IDLC machines for Tk. 432,420.00 only has been recognized as expenses whereas lease agreement discloses yearly installments with rental amount of Tk. 20, 29,690.00 which was not accounted as per BAS-17 Leases;
- 4. Year wise tax provision as well as assessment position could not be made available to us. As such actual tax liability could not be worked out”;

thtnZi Bmjyqvi i Dctiv³ e`_Zvi Rb` Kwgkb KZR cŀ mŀ bs- SEC/Enforcement/533/2006/1191 Zwi L wWtmf 6, 2006 Bs Gi gva`tg Bmjyqvi l Dnvi cwi Pvj Kt` i tk Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 Gi section 22 Gi Aaxb Kvi Y` kŋbv l i` bvbxi tbnwK Rwi Kiv nq Ges Rvbpvix 25, 2007 Bs Zwi tL i` bvbxi Zwi L wbaŋ Y Kiv nq;

thtnZi D³ i` bvbxtZ AskMŋbKvix Bmjyqvi i ci vgkR Rbve KvRx Awj Dj Bmj vg (tkvœúwbi cwi Pvj Kmb KZR Abtjgw` Z) Ges tkvœúwbi mŋPe Rbve nwg` j ingvb KZR `wlj KZ cŀ mŀ bs Lex/SEC-108/06 Zwi L Rvbpvix 24, 2007 Bs Gi gva`tg wbtæ³ e`vL`v cŀ vb Kiv nq hv Kwgk tbi wBKU MŋbthvM` etj wetePZ nqvb:-

“1. Since the company was not in production for about nine month and approached to the bank for waiving of interest and reschedule its loan liabilities nine months interest was not charged in the account. On receipt of bank’s final decision on the subject, necessary adjustment will be made in the account in the following year;

2. The rate of depreciation of all assets was mentioned in note 1.03(c) the of the audit report. But in the Schedule of Fixed Assets no rate was mentioned as the depreciation on the assets related to production was not charged because the machinery was not utilized in full swing during the period under review;

3. IDLC hold the ownership of the leased machinery and this is not yet the property of the Company. As such leasehold machinery is not taken into account as assets and no liabilities are created. in the next year lease rent outstanding will be recognized and be amortized for several years based on board decision;

4. Provision for Tax has not been adjusted, as company's claim of Tk. 1,31,13,469.00 only for adjustment of taxes against AIT has not yet been settled";

thtnZ; umikDwi uJR mspvS-AvBb I Dnvi Aaxb RvixKZ wea-wearb cwi cvj tb D³ Bmjvqi Gi e^e-vcbv cwi Pvj Km mKj cwi Pvj KMfYi D³ e^e-Zv Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 Gi section 22 Gi Aaxb kw^h hM^h Aciva;

thtnZ; Avtj vP^h Bmjvqi GKwU cvej K vj vgtUW tKv^uvbv Ges Dnvi cwi Pvj Kgej xi m^mMY tKv^uvbv ciZubwaZKvix hviv umikDwi uJR mspvS-AvBbKvbv cwi cvj tbi Rb^h vqx; Ges

thtnZ; Kvgktbi vetePbvq, umikDwi uJR AvBb I Dnvi wea-wearb cwi cvj tb Duj w-Z e^e-Zvi Rb^h, cRvRvfi i ksljv, ^hQZv Ges Rb^h D³ Bmjvqi i cZ^h K cwi Pvj K I e^e-vcbv cwi Pvj tK Rvi gvbv Kiv cQvRb I mgxPxb;

AZGe, thtnZ; Kvgkb, Duj w-Z hvezix velt vetePbvceR, Securities and Exchange Ordinance, 1969 Gi section 22 [hv The Securities and Exchange (Amendment) Act, 2000 hviv mstkwaz] tZ cD^h E^h vlgZvetj :-

- (1) tj^h tKv vj vgtUW Gi cwi Pvj K Rbve Avj nvRi Avaj gvZb Gi Dci 1 (GK) j vUvKv Rvi gvbv avh^h Kij hv A^h Avt^h tki 15 (ctbi) w^h tbi gta^h umikDwi uJR I G^h tPA Kvgkb^h Gi AbKtj BmjKZ e^hvsK WtdU/tc-AWfi i gva^htg Kvgktb Rgv Kitz nte; Ges
- (2) G Avt^h k Rvixi Zwi L nZ Dcti Duj w-Z umikDwi uJR AvBb m^uumKZ wea-wearb cwi cvj b hZw^h b j sNb Pj te Zvi ciZ w^h tbi Rb^h D³ Bmjvqi i cwi Pvj K Rbve Avj nvRi Avaj gvZb Gi Dci ciZw^h tbi Rb^h k nvRvi (10,000/-) UvKv nvfi AvZwi³ Rvi gvbvI avh^h Kij hv Dcti (1) G Duj w-Z c^hvZtZ Kvgktb Rgv Kitz nte|

umikDwi uJR I G^h tPA Kvgktbi c^hq|-

dvi^h K Avg^h umi^h Kx
tPqvi gv^hvb

weZi bt

Rbve Avj nvRi Avaj gvZb, cwi Pvj K
tj^h tKv vj vgtUW